Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/06/23 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000700220021-0

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

ADDRESS ONLY
"CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION"
IN YOUR REPLY REFER TO
FILE

AND DATE OF THIS LETTER

April 20, 1954

5-4749

Honorable Allen W. Dulles Director, Central Intelligence Agency Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

This has reference to the reply of November 13, 1953, made by your agency in response to Departmental Circular 722. In accordance with the request contained in such reply, the excepted authority under Section 6.116(b) of Schedule A is being revoked.

By direction of the Commission:

Sinceraly yours,

Philip Young Chairman

NSA Review Completed.

MORI/CDF Page 6

4/21- DRIG Swa to ADIP.


e Table Chara The guile a 5-2532 STAT

FEB 24 1954

out -

Honorable Philip Young Chairman U. S. Civil Service Commission Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Young:



I refer to the letters to you from Assistant Secretary of Defense Harmah, and General Canine, dated 18 and 23 February, respectively, requesting that five supergrade positions out of a total of sixty-nine possibly available, be allocated to the National Security Agency.

I understand that the National Security Agency, despite its grave responsibility for the national defense, has only received one supergrade position so far.

I wish to stress the desirability of building up the strength and proficiency of the Mational Security Agency in order that it may attract and retain on its rolls men of the highest calibre. You are, of course, aware that pay scales for men of this calibre have been rising steadily over the past few years, thus adding materially to the difficulties being encountered by the Mational Security Agency in this regard. There is a real danger that competent persons now on the rolls of this Agency may be tempted to seek employment elsewhere.

The need for success in the work of the Mational Security Agency is beyond momentary measure and, in my opinion, should have high priority in the intelligence field.

With these considerations in mind, I wish to support the request made to you by the Assistant Secretary Hannah, and General Canine.

Sincerely,

SHAFED

Allen W. Dulles Director

Orig & 1 - Addressee

2 - DCI

 $\perp - DD/I$

2 - AD/CI

CS/SpIII

ER- Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/06/23 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000700220021-0

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/06/23 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000700220021-0 DEPT. OF DEFENSE

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY Washington 25, D. C.

23 Feb 1954

Honorable Philip Young Chairman U. S. Civil Service Commission Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Young:

Dr. John A. Hannah, in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, has given me a copy of his letter to you dated 18 February 1954, in which 69 classification act supergrade positions previously authorized to the Department of Defense have been made available for use by other Departments of the Government. I am pleased to note that Dr. Hannah has called your attention to the requirements of this Agency for supergrade positions. Continuing efforts in the past to secure supergrades for this Agency have been unsuccessful to date with the exception of a single GS-18 position which was established in compliance with National Security Council Intelligence Directive No. 9 and approved by the President. The purpose of this letter is to establish with you the number of supergrade positions which are critically required by this Agency. Specifically, the Agency has an immediate and critical requirement for five supergrade positions. Members of my staff are available at any time to supply your Commission with the job descriptions and grade levels of these five positions.

Supergrades for NSA are based on the requirement to accomplish the high-level scientific and technical work for which the Agency is responsible. As you know, the Agency employs several thousand civilians, many of whom are engaged in analytical and scientific work of extreme importance to the security of the United States. Because at present there is a compression at the GS-15 level, the Agency is denied the opportunity of attracting individuals of the highest calibre and of competing successfully with other Government attencies in the provision of adequate recognition, incentive and compensation.

Your support and assistance in providing a minimum of five supergrade positions will be greatly appreciated and will go a long way toward improving the ability of this Agency to discharge its vital mission.

Sincerely,

RALPH J. CANINE Lieutenant General, US Army Director

COPY

18 February 1954

Dear Mr. Young:

The Secretary of Defense has asked me to reply to your letter of 25 January 1954 requesting that the Department of Defense substitute the authority of Section 806 of Public Law 207 for that of the Classification Act with respect to 69 positions in grades 16, 17 and 18 previously authorized under such Act, so as to make these 69 positions available for use by other Departments of the Government.

As your letter indicates, the language of Section 806 of Public Law 207 has been recommended by the President for enactment as a provision of the 1955 Appropriation Act of the Department of Defense, to increase the number of super grade positions available to the Department. In view of this fact we consider that your request that the Department of Defense use the authority of Section 806 for the super grade positions now established under authority of the Classification Act in a reasonable one.

We should like to call to your attention, however, the requirements of the National Security Agency and the Court of Military Appeals for super grade positions. Neither of these agencies is organically a part of the Department of Defense, although the Secretary of Defense has been assigned administrative responsibility for them. It would be most helpful if the small number of super grade positions critically required by these two agencies could be met by the Commission out of the 69 jobs which we are agreeing to relinquish to you.

We appreciate the support which you have given to the recommendation for increasing the number of positions authorized by Section 806 of Public Law 207. I am confident that we may expect continued support from the Commission, to the extent necessary, in assuring that this increased authorization for super grades in the Department of Defense is enacted in our 1955 Appropriation Act.

Sincerely yours,

sgd

John A. Hannah

Honorable Philip Young Chairman U. S. Civil Service Commission Washington 25, D. C.

COPY

ERT he DD/1+

AD/C/

Capies returned by haved

to DD/1. chi

4.6431

The Honorable John W. Macy, Jr. Executive Director U. S. Civil Service Commission Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Macy:

Your letter of 17 August 1953 inquired whether this Agency would furnish the Civil Service Commission the information needed to maintain the security investigations index in accordance with Sections 9(a) and (b) of Executive Order 10450. The problem was discussed in detail with your Office on 17 September 1953, and the complexities of security and cover problems encountered by this Agency were described. I believe it is evident that the recording of the names of employees and personnel of interest to this Agency would be detrimental to the Agency and its operations.

The security aspects of releasing information on Agency employees have been carefully considered from time to time, particularly with regard to my responsibility for protecting intelligence sources and methods, which is set forth in the Mational Security Act of 1949. In order to assure that I could carry out this responsibility, the Congress, in Section 7 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, exempted the Agency from all provisions of law requiring publication or disclosure of personnel data. I deem it necessary and proper, therefore, for this Agency to refrain from furnishing the information described in Sections 9(a) and (b) of Executive Order 10450 for the investigations index of the Civil Service Commission. We wish, however, to comply with the Commission's desires insofar as we can without impairing legitimate security requirements. As was agreed upon at the meeting, we will furnish the Commission with the names of all persons who are dismissed from this Agency under the provisions of Section 102 of the National Security Act of 1947 or Executive Order 10450.

I greatly appreciate the understanding shown by you and your colleagues of the unique problems faced by this Agency in the conduct of its activities.

STAT

SO:RLB:jmf Sincerely yours, Rewritten: OGC:LRH:jeb cc: DCI (2) Director of Security w/basic ogc (#) SIGNED Admin Files,

L. K. White STAT Allen W. Dulles Director

Acting Deputy Director (Administration) STAT

Releasing Officer:

Office Lawrence R. Houst


Originating Office

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/06/23: CIA-RDP80B01676R000700220021-0

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR

Paragraphs 9(a) and (b) of Executive Order No. 10450 would require us to furnish to the Civil Service Commission, for its Security Investigations Index, the results of all investigations conducted; obviously, we cannot do this under our security requirements.

The General Counsel and the Deputy Director of Security met with Mr. Macy, Executive Director, Civil Service Commission, and others on 17 September 1953 at which time they explained our problem. The Civil Service Commission representatives indicated that they understood and would not pursue the matter further if we would forward a letter stating that we could not comply, etc.



STAT

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/06/23: CIA-RDP80B01676R000700220021-0

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

ADDRESS ONLY
"CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION"
IN YOUR REPER TO

FILE

1. 24 A. 2.

AND DATE OF THIS LETTER

3K4.4744

Honorable Allen W. Dulles Director Central Intelligence Agency Cashington 25, D. C.

L

Door Mr. Dulles:

The Commission appreciates the problems which you face in complying with Executive Order 10h50, particularly the requirement for submission of information for the security investigations index as prescribed by paragraphs 9 (a) and (b) of the order. We recognize that you must avoid disclosing information in violation of CIA security requirements and the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 19h9.

We are hopeful, however, that it is possible to reach a satisfactory solution to the problem which will meet the basic requirements of the order and at the same time comply with your security restrictions. For this reason we should like to present the following facts for your consideration.

tions index is to reduce duplication of investigation in the Federal service. By making maximum use of investigations previously conducted on the same persons, it is possible to save the considerable amount of time and expense which would otherwise be required if the same witnesses had to be re-contacted to obtain information which has already been developed. These savings are in keeping with the emphasis placed on economy of operations in the report of the Subcommittee on Federal Manpower Policies with specific reference to duplication of investigation in the Federal service.

The value of the security investigations index is strongly pointed up by the fact that during a recent month your a ency requested us to check 1,268 names of persons in whom you had an employment or investigative interest, to learn if there were previous investigative files on these persons. These requests were submitted in the form of biographical data sheets whereas we need a card form (Standard Form 79) for insertion in the index.

be have been returning the sheets to you after our search for previous files has been completed. Therefore the security investigations index is incomplete to the extent of a considerable number of security investigations which your agency is conducting. Thus the cost of investigations to the government as a whole is higher than it would be if the index were complete.

We note that Section 7 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 19h9 provides that CIA shall be exempted from the provisions of any law which requires the publication or disclosure of CIA organization, functions, names, official titles, salaries, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agency. Nothing in Section 9 (a) of Executive Order 10h50 requires disclosure of this information. In completing Standard Form 79 to notify the Commission that was have initiated a security investigation, you could omit any prohibited information such as position and grade. It would not be necessary to give the name and address of the office in which the report of investigation will be available, if this would violate your security restrictions. There is no requirement that you furnish us with information on CIA organization, functions, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agency.

As we intercret the order, it would not be necessary for you to furnish a complete roster of the names of CIA employees. Only the persons on whom investigations have been conducted under the order would be included. Names of persons previously investigated by you would be highly desirable in the interest of economy of investigations but could be omitted because of your security restrictions. We suggest, however, that these names could be submitted in installments and integrated into the index in such a way that it would be impossible to reconstruct a roster of your employees. Once the cards were interfiled in the index, which now has close to five million names in it, compiling a list of your employees would be an impossible task. Such an effort would be further defeated by the fact that there would be no way of knowing whether or not these persons are still employed by you.

If you determine that you will be able to submit information on Standard Form 79 concerning investigations you initiate, it will only be necessary to go a step further to notify us on Standard Form 80 of the security determination you make on completion of your investigations.

The assure you that the information furnished by you will be properly safeguarded so that your security requirements will be



ER 4-4989a

AUG 27 1953

The homogaple Philip Young Chairman United States Civil Service Commission Marken van 25. D. C.

Designation Yours

in we. Bulles' absence from Washington, I am asianwheaging your letter of August 21.

Which you very much for sending along the explanahow parameter on the reorganization of the Civil Service COMMISS On.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

C. P. CABELL Medtenant General, USAF Acting Director

O/DCI/RELong:vml (25 August 1953) Distribution: orig d'addressee

- 1 DD/A w/basic
- 1 official
- i reading
- 1 REL chrono